

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,668	BRENNAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Trimmings	2138	

All Participants:

(1) John P. Trimmings.

(2) Larry Ashery.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2006

Time: 1:45 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102(b)

Claims discussed:
1,5,7,12

Prior art documents discussed:
Giles

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

John P. Trimmings
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The after-final amendment dated 11/9/2006 contains new limitations that the examiner believes to be indefinite. The examiner and the applicant's attorney, Larry Asher, have agreed to an Examiner's Amendment where the limitation, "... a plurality of conditions and the plurality of conditions ..." in claims 1, 5, 7 and 12 is to be amended to recite, "... a set of conditions and the set of conditions ...".

